

Electronically Received 09/28/2021 02:48 PM

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**FERNALD LAW GROUP APC**  
Adam P. Zaffos (Bar No. 217669)  
Brandon C. Fernald (Bar No. 222429)  
15910 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1702  
Encino, California 91436  
Telephone: (323) 410-0300  
Facsimile: (323) 410-0330  
E-Mail: [adam@fernaldlawgroup.com](mailto:adam@fernaldlawgroup.com)  
[brandon.fernald@fernaldlawgroup.com](mailto:brandon.fernald@fernaldlawgroup.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
DR. IMAN SADEGHI

**FILED**  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles  
09/29/2021

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court  
By:                     A. Cisneros                     Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-CENTRAL DISTRICT**

DR. IMAN SADEGHI, an individual,  
  
  Plaintiff,  
  
  vs.

PINSCREEN, INC., a Delaware Corporation;  
DR. HAO LI, an individual; and DOES 1  
through 100,  
  
  Defendants.

Case No.: BC 709376

[Assigned to the Hon. Judge Lia Martin, Dept.  
16]

~~**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING  
DEFENDANT PINSCREEN, INC.'S EX  
PARTE APPLICATION SEEKING RELIEF  
UNDER C.C.P. SECTION 473(B), TO  
REFILE ITS MOTION TO SEAL, AND TO  
HAVE THE SUBJECT OBJECTIONS  
FILED CONDITIONALLY UNDER SEAL**~~

**HEARING DATE:** September 29, 2021  
**TIME:** 8:30 a.m.  
**PLACE:** Dept. 16., Stanley Mosk Courthouse

Complaint Filed: June 11, 2018  
Trial Date: March 14, 2022

1 **TO THE PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 On September 29, 2021 at 8:30 a.m., the Ex Parte Application Seeking Relief Under C.C.P.  
 3 Section 473(B), To Refile Its Motion To Seal, And To Have The Subject Objections Filed  
 4 Conditionally Under Seal filed by Defendant Pinscreen, Inc. (“Pinscreen”) came for hearing in  
 5 Department 16 of the Los Angeles Superior Court, the Honorable Lia Martin, presiding.

6 Having considered the papers filed in support of the motion and in opposition to the  
 7 application:

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 9 1. Pinscreen’s Ex Parte Application is DENIED in its entirety.
- 10 2. The Court’s order denying Pinscreen’s Motion to Seal the Objections shall remain in  
 11 full force and effect as entered on September 17, 2021.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13  
 14 DATED: 09/29/2021



15 **Lia Martin**  
 16 The Honorable Lia R. Martin  
 Superior Court Judge  
 Lia Martin / Judge

18  
 19  
 20  
 21

22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28